

Interview Summary

Application No.

10/768,167

Applicant(s)

BRASSIL ET AL.

Examiner

Sandra Saucier

Art Unit

1651

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Sandra Saucier

(3) _____

(2) R. Issacs

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 01 May 2009

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference

c) ☐ Personal (copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant

2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: _____

Claim(s) discussed: none.

Identification of prior art discussed: WO 98/09166.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The statement of the obviousness rejection in the non-final rejection mailed 4/10/09 was in error because it cited WO 94/06292 twice instead of citing WO 98/09166 as a secondary reference. This error has been corrected in the supplemental non-final rejection attached to this form. The period for response has been restarted.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Sandra Saucier/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1651